

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Third day of August Two Thousand Twelve

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUDHAKAR

MP Nos.2 and 3 of 2012

IN WP.Nos.20263, 20268, 20285, 20841 to 20844,

21048 and 21565 of 2012

THE SOUTHERN INDIA MILLS' [PETITIONER IN MP 2 & 3/12 IN
ASSOCIATION, REPRESENTED BY ITS AUTHORIZED WP 20263/12]
SIGNATORY MR.N.SELVARAJ, 41, RACE COURSE
ROAD, COIMBATORE-641018.

ORCHID CHEMICALS AND PHARMACEUTICALS [PETITIONER IN MP 2 & 3/12 IN
LTD., HT SC NO.562, 313, ORCHID TOWERS, WP 20268/12]
VALLUVARKOTTAM HIGH ROAD, NUNGAMBAKKAM, CHENNAI 34
REP BY ITS SR GENERAL MANAGER-LEGAL &
COMPANY SECRETARY MRS.BHOOMIJA MURALI

SAMBANDAM SIVA TEXTILES PRIVATE [PETITIONER IN MP 2 & 3/12 IN
LIMITED, REP. BY ITS ELECTRICAL ENGINEER WP 20285/12]
MR.N.SOUNDARARAJAN, P.B.NO.1,
KAMARAJ NAGAR COLONY,
SALEM-636 014, HT SC NO.177.

TAMILNADU ELECTRICITY CONSUMERS' [PETITIONER IN MP 2 & 3/12 IN
ASSOCIATION, REP BY ITS SECRETARY WP 20841/12]
MR.R. NANGAGOPAL, 1 ST FLOOR,
SIEMA BUILDING, P.B.NO.3847,
8/4 RACE COURSE, COIMBATORE 641 018.

TUBE PRODUCTS OF INDIA [PETITIONER IN MP 2 & 3/12 IN
HT SC NO.1050, A UNIT OF TUBE WP 20842/12]
INVESTMENTS OF INDIA LTD,
REP BY ITS PRESIDENT MR.KALYAN KUMAR PAUL,
POST BAG NO.4, AVADI, CHENNAI 54.

T I METAL FORMING, HT SC NO.79 [PETITIONER IN MP 2 & 3/12 IN
A UNIT OF TUBE INVESTMENTS OF INDIA LTD, WP 20843/12]
REP. BY ITS PRESIDENT MR.KALYAN KUMAR PAUL,
CHENNAI THIRUVALLUR HIGH ROAD,
THIRUNINDRAVUR, CHENNAI-602 024.

AQ 008295

TIDC INDIA, HT SC NO.1110 [PETITIONER IN MP 2 & 3/12 IN
A UNIT OF TUBE INVESTMENTS OF INDIA LTD, WP 20844/12]
REP BY ITS PRESIDENT MR.KALYAN KUMAR PAUL,
POST BAG NO.11, AMBATTUR, CTH ROAD,
CHENNAI 53.

AXLES INDIA LIMITED, HT SC NO.81, [PETITIONER IN MP 2 & 3/12 IN
21 PATULLOS ROAD, CHENNAI 2, WP 21048/12]
REP BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR MR.M.K.SURENDRAN

TAMILNADU SPINNING MILLS ASSOCIATION, [PETITIONER IN MP 2 & 3/12 IN
#2, KARUR ROAD, NEAR BESCHI COLLEGE, WP 21565/12]
MODERN NAGAR, DINDIGUL 624 001,
REP.BY ITS CHIEF ADVISOR, DR.K.VENKATACHALAM

Vs

1 THE GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU, [RESPONDENTS 1 TO 5 IN ALL
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, THE PETITIONS]
ENERGY DEPARTMENT, FORT ST.GEORGE, CHENNAI-9.

2 TAMIL NADU GENERATION AND
DISTRIBUTION CORPORATION LIMITED, (TANGEDCO),
REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR,
144, ANNA SALAI, CHENNAI-2.

3 TAMIL NADU TRANSMISSION
CORPORATION LIMITED (TANTRANSOCO),
REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR,
144, ANNA SALAI, CHENNAI-2.

4 THE CHIEF FINANCIAL
CONTROLLER / REVENUE, ACCOUNTS BRANCH,
REVENUE DIVISION, TAMIL NADU GENERATION AND
DISTRIBUTION CORPORATION LTD., (TNGEDCO)
144, ANNA SALAI, CHENNAI-2.

5 TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION (TNERC), REP. BY ITS
SECRETARY, 19-A, RUKMINI LAKSHMIPATHY SALAI,
(MARSHALL'S ROAD), EGMORE, CHENNAI-8.

6 THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, [6TH RESPONDENT IN MP 2 & 3/12
SALEM ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION CIRCLE, IN WP 20285/12]
TAMIL NADU GENERATION AND DISTRIBUTION
CORPORATION LIMITED (TANGEDCO), SALEM.

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, [6TH RESPONDENT IN MP 2 & 3/12 IN
CHENNAI WEST ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION CIRCLE, WP 20842 & 20844/12]
TAMIL NADU GENERATION AND DISTRIBUTION
CORPORATION LIMITED. (TANGEDCO), TIRUMANGALAM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, [6TH RESPONDENT IN MP 2 & 3/12 IN
CHENGALPATTU ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION CIRCLE, WP 20843 & 21048/12]
TAMIL NADU GENERATION AND DISTRIBUTION
CORPORATION LIMITED (TANGEDCO), CHENGALPATTU.

Petitions praying that in these circumstances stated therein and in the respective affidavits filed therewith the High Court will be pleased to

1. (1) grant an Order of Interim Injunction restraining the Respondents 1 to 4, their men, officers, agents, servants, representatives and/or any one claiming through or under them and/or or any other person from in any manner levying, demanding and/or collecting Cross Subsidy Surcharges from the members of the Petitioner for procuring energy from wind mills, CPP, power exchanges and third party sources, (in MP 2/12)

(11) To grant an Order of Interim Stay of the operation of the impugned G.O.(Ms).No.79 Energy (C.3) Department dated 11.07.2012 issued by the 1st Respondent culminating in the 2nd Respondent's Circular Memo. No. CFC/Rev/FC/ Rev/AS-3/D.No. /12 dated 12.07.2012 and all further proceedings pursuant thereto, (in MP 3/12) pending disposal of the above Writ Petition No.20263 of 2012.

2. (1) to grant an order of interim injunction restraining the respondents 1 to 4, their men, officers, agents, servants, representatives and/or any one claiming through or under them and/or or any other person from in any manner levying, demanding and/or collecting Cross Subsidy Surcharges from the petitioner for procuring energy from wind mills, CPP, power exchanges and third party sources, (in MP 2/12)

(11) to grant an order of interim stay of the operation of the impugned G.O.(Ms) No.79 Energy (C.3) Department dt 11.7.2012 issued by the 1st respondent culminating in the 2nd Respondent's Circular Memo No.CFC/ Rev/FC/Rev/AS-3/D.No. /12/dt 12.7.2012, and all further proceedings pursuant thereto, (in MP 3/12) pending WP No.20268 of 2012.

3 (1) To grant an Order of Interim Injunction restraining the Respondents 1 to 4 and 6, their men, officers, agents, servants, representatives and/or any one claiming through or under them and/or or any other person from in any manner levying, demanding and/or collecting Cross Subsidy Surcharges from the members of the Petitioner for

procuring energy from wind mills, CPP, power exchanges and third party sources, (in MP 2/12)

(ii) To grant an Order of Interim Stay of the operation of the impugned G.O. (Ms).No.79 Energy (C.3) Department dated 11.07.2012 issued by the 1st Respondent culminating in the 2nd Respondent's Circular Memo. No. CFC/Rev/FC/ Rev/AS-3/D.No. /12 dated 12.07.2012 and all further proceedings pursuant thereto, (in MP 3/12) pending disposal of the above Writ Petition No.20285 of 2012.

4 (i) to grant an order of interim injunction restraining the respondents 1 to 4, their men, officers, agents, servants, representatives and/or any one claiming through or under them and/or any other person from in any manner levying, demanding and/or collecting Cross Subsidy Surcharges from the members of the petitioner for procuring energy from wind mills, CPP, power exchanges and third party sources, (in MP 2/12)

(ii) to grant an order of interim stay of the operation of the impugned G.O. (Ms) No.79 Energy (C.3) Department dt 11.7.2012 issued by the 1st respondent culminating in the 2nd Respondent's Circular Memo No. CFC/ Rev/FC/Rev/AS-3/D.No./12/ dt 12.7.2012, and all further proceedings pursuant thereto, (in MP 3 /12) pending WP No.20841 of 2012.

5 (i) to grant an order of interim injunction restraining the respondents 1 to 4 and 6, their men, officers, agents, servants, representatives and/or any one claiming through or under them and/or any other person from in any manner levying, demanding and/or collecting Cross Subsidy Surcharges from the petitioner for their HT SC No.1050 for procuring energy from wind mills, CPP, power exchanges and third party sources, (in MP 2/12)

(ii) to grant an order of interim stay of the operation of the impugned G.O. (Ms) No.79 Energy (C.3) Department dt 11.7.2012 issued by the 1st respondent culminating in the 2nd Respondent's Circular Memo No. CFC/ Rev/FC/Rev/AS-3/D.No./12/ dt 12.7.2012, and all further proceedings pursuant thereto, (in MP 3 /12) pending WP No.20842 of 2012.

6 (i) To grant an order of interim injunction restraining the respondents 1 to 4 and 6, their men, officers, agents, servants, representatives and/or any one claiming through or under them and/or or any other person from in any manner levying, demanding and/or collecting Cross Subsidy Surcharges from the petitioner for their HT SC No.79 for procuring energy from wind mills, CPP, power exchanges and third party sources, (in MP 2/12)

(ii) To grant an order of interim stay of the operation of the impugned G.O. (Ms) No.79 Energy (C.3) Department dated 11.7.2012 issued by the 1st respondent culminating in the 2nd Respondent's Circular Memo No. CFC/ Rev/FC/Rev/AS-3/D.No./12/ dated 12.7.2012, and all further

proceedings pursuant thereto, (in MP 3/12) pending WP No.20843 of 2012.

7 (i) to grant an order of interim injunction restraining the respondents 1 to 4 and 6, their men, officers, agents, servants, representatives and/or any one claiming through or under them and/or or any other person from in any manner levying, demanding and/or collecting Cross Subsidy Surcharges from the petitioner for their HT SC No.1110 for procuring energy from wind mills, CPP, power exchanges and third party sources, (in MP 2/12)

(ii) to grant an order of interim stay of the operation of the impugned G.O.(Ms) No.79 Energy (C.3) Department dt 11.7.2012 issued by the 1st respondent culminating in the 2nd Respondent's Circular Memo No. CFC/ Rev/FC/Rev/AS-3/D.No./12/ dt 12.7.2012, and all further proceedings pursuant thereto, (in MP 3/12) pending WP No.20844 of 2012.

8 (i) to grant an order of interim injunction restraining the respondents 1 to 4 and 6, their men, officers, agents, servants, representatives and/or any one claiming through or under them and/or or any other person from in any manner levying, demanding and/or collecting Cross Subsidy Surcharges from the petitioner for their HT SC No.81 for procuring energy from wind mills, CPP, power exchanges and third party sources, (in MP 2/12)

(ii) to grant an order of interim stay of the operation of the impugned G.O.(Ms) No.79 Energy (C.3) Department dt 11.7.2012 issued by the 1st respondent culminating in the 2nd Respondent's Circular Memo No. CFC/ Rev/FC/Rev/AS-3/D.No./12/ dt 12.7.2012, and all further proceedings pursuant thereto, (in MP 3/12) pending WP 21048 of 2012.

9 (i) grant an Order of Interim Injunction restraining the Respondents 1 to 4, their men, officers, agents, servants, representatives and/or any one claiming through or under them and/or or any other person from in any manner levying, demanding and/or collecting Cross Subsidy Surcharges from the members of the Petitioner for procuring energy from wind mills, CPP, power exchanges and third party sources, (in MP 2/12)

(ii) To grant an Order of Interim Stay of the operation of the impugned G.O.(Ms).No.79 Energy (C.3) Department dated 11.07.2012 issued by the 1st Respondent culminating in the 2nd Respondent's Circular Memo. No. CFC/Rev/FC/ Rev/AS-3/D.No. /12 dated 12.07.2012 and all further proceedings pursuant thereto, (in MP 3/12) pending disposal of the above Writ Petition No.21565 of 2012 respectively.

Order : These petitions coming on for orders upon perusing the respective petitions and the affidavits filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S.N.L.RAJAH, Advocate for the petitioner in petitioner in MP 2 & 3/12 in WP 20263/12, 20285/12 & 20841 to 20844/12 & 21048/12 and of M/S.R.S.PANDIYARAJ, Advocate for the

petitioner in petitioner in MP 2 & 3/12 in WP 20268/12 & 21565/12 and of MR.P.H.ARAVIND PANDIYAN, Additional Advocate General V Assisted by M/S.G.VASUDEVAN, MR.P.GUNARAJ, MR.S.K.RAMSHWAR and MR.M.VARUNKUMAR, Standing counsel on behalf of the Respondents (Electricity Board) in all the petitions the court made the following order:-

Heard Mr.AR.L.Sunderesan, learned senior counsel representing Mr.R.S.Pandiaraj, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners in W.P.Nos.20268 and 21565 of 2012; and Mr.N.L.Rajah, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners in W.P.Nos.20263, 20285, 20841 to 20844, 21048 and Mr.P.H. Aravind Pandiyan, learned Additional Advocate General-V, assisted by M/s.G.Vasudevan, .P.Gunaraj, S.K.Rameshwar and M.Varunkumar, learned standing counsel appearing for the respondent electricity Board.

2. These batch of writ petitions challenges the G.O.Ms.No.79 Energy (C.3) Department dated 11.07.2012 and the consequential circular dated 12.7.2012 issued by the respondent Board whereby the waiver of the cross subsidy surcharges granted in G.O.Ms.No.10 Energy (C3) Department dated 27.2.2000 is withdrawn and the Government has authorised the TANGEDCO to collect Cross Subsidy Surcharges (for the purchased quantum from outside) from the HT consumers who are not availing Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corporation Limited, quota power fully or partially and purchase power from the outside sources.

3. The one of the several pleas that is taken by the petitioner is that the respondent Board had earlier undertaken to waive the levy of cross subsidy surcharge till restriction and control measures are lifted. Based on that an order came to be passed in W.P.Nos.27983 to 27989 of 2012 etc., batch on 17.2.2011. The order reads as follows:-

"5. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents electricity board, produced a copy of the Amended Circular issued in Circular Memo No.Dir/o/SE/LD&GO/E1/ABT/F interstate/D 3144/11 dated 8.2.2011 by the Tamil Nadu Transmission Corporation Limited which reads as follows:-

"In continuation of the already issued circular memo vide reference (1) and (2) cited above it is stated that

For the HT consumers who purchase power upto their sanctioned demand from power exchanges, traders and generators, the relevant cross subsidy surcharge as per clause 6.5 of TNERC order No.2 dt.15.05.2006 are temporarily waived until Restriction & Control measures are lifted.

All S.Es./EDC are requested to adhere the above

instructions strictly with immediate effect."

6. In view of the above Amended Circular dated 9.2.2011 issued by the Tamilnadu Transmission Corporation Limited, the demand of Cross Subsidy Surcharge in respect of each one of the HT Consumer is set aside. The respondents authorities are directed to adjust the amount, if any, paid by the HT Consumers/writ petitioners towards Cross Subsidy Surcharge, in the future current consumption bills."

4. According to the petitioners, there was no justification for withdrawing the earlier circular and to cancel G.O.Ms.No.10 dated 27.2.2000 and the reasons given are irrelevant. There is no change of circumstance now to impose cross subsidy surcharge as the Restriction and Control measures are yet to be lifted. Furthermore, it is pleaded that because of the power restriction, outages, the petitioners are not able to utilise the Board's power in full and therefore, in such circumstances, the respondent Board thought it fit not to impose cross subsidy surcharges till the Restriction & Control measures are fully lifted. The reason given in the present G.O., withdrawing the levy of cross subsidy surcharges is only on the ground that the TANGECO quota power has not been utilised fully or partially and petitioner's HT industries purchase power from the outside sources. This is vehemently denied and contended that if the petitioner in one or other case has not utilised the quota power fully or partially it is not because of petitioner's fault, but due to restriction imposed by the respondent Board. Petitioner in some cases dispute the plea of non-utilisation stating that the department's calculation is based on a wrong interpretation of usage of Board power and third party power.

5. This plea is vehemently objected to by the learned Additional Advocate General who raised a preliminary plea that the writ petition filed by the association is not maintainable and that plea will be considered at the appropriate time. He also stated that the petitioners HT Consumers falling under the category of HTIA industries are not fully utilising the quota power thereby loss is caused to the Board. In order to reduce the loss, the cross subsidy surcharge, is received as it is a measure to compensate the subsidised power given to other sectors. It has statutory backing in view of the order passed by the Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission.

6. On going through the order under challenge, this court prima facie is not able to accept the statement made in impugned Government Order that cross subsidy surcharge is relatable to the quota power given for any particular industry. As could be seen from the Determination of Tariff for Generation and Distribution, para 9.11.2 speaks about different classes of consumers. Para 9.11.2 reads as follows:-

"9.11.2 The surcharge shall be the difference between the

tariff applicable to the relevant category of consumers and the cost of distribution licensee to supply electricity to the consumers of the applicable class."

Therefore, the Cross Subsidy Surcharge is applicable based on the determination of tariff for Generation and Distribution and not on the utilisation of quota power by any particular consumer. It is based on a formula applicable to all specified category. The TNERC order nowhere states that the levy of cross subsidy surcharges is relatable to quota power. This issue has to be considered in detail after hearing the petitioners as well as the respondent department in detail, in view of the several factual and legal issues raised, more particularly, the petitioners plea of promissory estoppel and legitimate expectation. Petitioners relied on the Supreme Court decision of *Southern Petrochemical Industries Co. Ltd., - vs. - Electricity Inspector & ETIO* reported in (2007)5 Supreme Court Cases 447, and the Division Bench decision of this Court in *K.Sakthi Rani - vs. - The Secretary of The Bar Council of Tamilnadu & others* reported in 2010-2 Law Weekly 746.

7. The learned Additional Advocate General relied on para 88 of the Apex Court decision in *Transmission Corporation of Andhra Pradesh Limited and another v. Sai Renewable power Private Limited and others* reported in (2011) 11 Supreme Court Cases 34 to repel the plea of promissory estoppel. Para 88 of the decision reads as follows:-

"88. In our country, the law of promissory estoppel has attained certainty. It is only an unambiguous and definite promise, which is otherwise enforceable in law upon which, the parties have acted, comes within the ambit and scope of enforcement of this principle and binding on the parties for their promise and representation. It will be difficult for the Court to hold that the guidelines can take the colour of a definite promise which in the letters of the Central Government itself were proposals to the State Government. Besides that, if for the sake of argument, we treat the State letters/circulars as promise or representation to the private parties like the respondents, even then, they led to the execution of a definite contract between the parties which will purely fall in the domain of contractual law. These contracts specifically provided for review and when reviewed in the year 2001 parties not only accepted the order but executed contracts (PPAs) in furtherance of it. In these circumstances, we are unable to accept the argument that the State or the Regulatory Commission or the erstwhile State

Electricity Board were bound to allow same tariff and permit third-party sales for an indefinite period. To this extent, authorities, in any case, would not be bound by the principle of estoppel."

8. It is to be noted that the levy of cross subsidy surcharge is justified in view of the order passed by the Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission in terms of Section 42(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003. As to the implementation of the same the present dispute has cropped up consequent to withdrawal of the earlier order waiving cross subsidy surcharge pending the restriction and control measures. The right to levy cross subsidy surcharge is not in dispute. Earlier, the respondent Board had clearly stated that till restriction and control measures are lifted the cross subsidy surcharge will not be levied and they approached the Commission on this plea and the restriction and control measures were in force. Merely on the plea of one category of HT consumer is not utilising the energy quota the respondent cannot justify the withdrawal of the earlier Government Order and Circular as they have committed not to impose cross subsidy surcharge till restriction and control measures are lifted. The petitioners who are supplied the reduced energy quota have a legitimate claim that they should not be burdened with cross subsidy surcharge till restriction and control measures are withdrawn. The Government and the Board held out such a promise and the withdrawal/waiver of the cross subsidy surcharge was not conditional insofar as HT consumers. Therefore, petitioner's plea for continuation of waiver of cross subsidy surcharge is justified as long as restriction control measures are in force. In view of the above since the respondent Board have the right to collect, but have waived it on account of restriction and control measures the withdrawal at this stage is not justified. The factual plea of non-utilisation of energy quota is a grey area and it is disputed. Hence, this court is of the view that the rights of both parties has to be balanced and an equitable order passed pending further orders of this court.

9. Considering the earlier Government Order and Circular granting total waiver and the present Government Order and the right of the respondent to levy Cross Subside Surcharge, this Court is inclined to pass the following interim order.

- (a) The respondent Board is entitled to raise the bills by including the cross subsidy surcharge as applicable for the ensuing months consequent to the impugned G.O.
- (b) The petitioners in each one of the case and the members of the association are directed to pay 50% of the cross subsidy surcharge levied which amount can be paid under protest and it will be subject to result of the writ petitions.
- (c) If the writ petitioners, ultimately, succeed they will be entitled to adjust the amount paid towards cross subsidy surcharge in the

current consumption bills of the succeeding months.

10. The Additional Advocate General-V, undertakes to instruct all the Superintending Engineers that the power supply should not be disconnected on account of non payment of cross subsidy surcharges inssofar as the petitioners, who are before the Court and have the benefit of the interim order. The power supply shall not be disconnected only on the ground that no court order is produced. A letter from the individual petitioner giving the details of the writ petition will be taken for the purpose of record and the petitioners are directed to produce the court order to the respondent as and when they receive the same. The learned Additional Advocate General seeks four weeks time to file counter.

11. The undertaking given by the learned Additional Advocate General is recorded. List the matter on 3.9.2012.

-sd/-

03/08/2012

(TRUE COPY)

M. Shanmugam / 6.8.12.
for Sub Assistant Registrar (Statistics / C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU, ENERGY DEPARTMENT,
FORT ST.GEORGE, CHENNAI-9.

2 THE CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR
TAMIL NADU GENERATION AND DISTRIBUTION
CORPORATION LIMITED, (TANGEDCO),
144, ANNA SALAI, CHENNAI-2.

3 THE CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR
TAMIL NADU TRANSMISSION CORPORATION
LIMITED (TANTRANSOCO), 144, ANNA SALAI,
CHENNAI-2.

4 THE CHIEF FINANCIAL
CONTROLLER / REVENUE, ACCOUNTS BRANCH,
REVENUE DIVISION, TAMIL NADU GENERATION AND
DISTRIBUTION CORPORATION LTD.,
144, ANNA SALAI, CHENNAI-2.

AQ 008

5 THE SECRETARY
TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY
COMMISSION (TNERC), 19-A, RUKMINI
LAKSHMIPATHY SALAI, (MARSHALL ROAD),
EGMORE, CHENNAI-8.

6 THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
SALEM ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION CIRCLE,
TAMIL NADU GENERATION AND DISTRIBUTION
CORPORATION LIMITED (TANGEDCO), SALEM.

7 THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
CHENNAI WEST ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION CIRCLE,
TAMIL NADU GENERATION AND DISTRIBUTION
CORPORATION LIMITED (TANGEDCO), TIRUMANGALAM

8 THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
CHENGALPATTU ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION CIRCLE,
TAMIL NADU GENERATION AND DISTRIBUTION
CORPORATION LIMITED (TANGEDCO), CHENGALPATTU.

9 MR. P.H.ARAVIND PANDIYAN
ADDITIONAL ADVOCATE GENERAL
HIGH COURT, MADRAS 600 104.

C.C. to M/S.N.L.RAJAH Advocate on payment of necessary charges

+2 C.C. to M/S.R.S.PANDIYARAJ Advocate SR Nos.10650 a 10651

+2 C.C. to M/S.G.VASUDEVAN Advocate SR No.10693

C.C. to M/S.S.K.RAMSHWAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges

Order

in

MP Nos.2 and 3 of 2012

IN WP.Nos.20263, 20268, 20285, 20841 to 20844,

21048 and 21565 of 2012

Date :03/08/2012

From 25.2.2001 the Registry is issuing certified
copies of the Interim Orders in this format
JV / 06.08.2012

AQ 0082